# **Executive Leadership Team**

## **Meeting Notes**

NAME OF COUNCIL/TEAM: Executive Leadership Team

**OBJECTIVE OF MEETING:** Routine reporting out from constituency groups and council leads; first reading of LGBTQIA+ project team report;

**DATE:** 05/03/2021 **TIME:** 3:00pm-5:00 pm

LOCATION/ROOM #: Zoom: https://cccconfer.zoom.us/j/92686167178

**CALL-IN NUMBER:**253 215 8782 (US Toll)

CALL-IN CODE: 926 8616 7178

FACILITATOR(S): Melanie Dixon

TIMEKEEPER:

**ASSISTANT:** Sue McCoy

**MEMBERS PRESENT:** BonnyJean Bowman, Amy Gaudard, Adam Karp, Frank Kobayashi, Sarah Lehmann, Janay Lovering, Olga Prizhbilov, Mark Sheppard, Alisa Shubb, Tressa Tabares, Regena Tiner, Nick Daily, Susan McCoy, Jeff Stephenson, Koue Vang,

#### SUPPORTING RESOURCES (ITEMS READ IN PREPARATION FOR AND/OR BROUGHT TO MEETING):

#### **UPDATES AND BRIEF REPORTS:**

Topic	Person(s) Responsible	Notes	
Council / Project Team Highlights from Written Reports	Council Leads / Co- Leads	Operations Council - District, using federal one-time funds, to purchase a laptop and docking station for all employees - Is laptop mandatory? - It will be a standard but will work with individuals - Computer lab laptops have all been assigned out to employees - Might laptop[s be available to some students (i.e., ASB President, etc) and those participating on Student Design Team who may be attending council meetings, etc.  IEC - Had discussion with HomeBase coaches regarding evaluating effectiveness - Council listened to discussions about their experiences	
Constituency Group Highlights from Written Reports	Constituency Group Leaders		
Update on Reopening Plans	Melanie Dixon / Frank Kobayashi	- Instructional offerings confirmed - Work on-going relative to student services - Need to review and perhaps upgrade signage on campus as programs may have moved - Still some faculty interested in offering on-ground or hybrid classes whose programs didn't make the "difficult to convert" list - Enrollment for on-campus classes is lagging initially - Do we know why they're not enrolling in face-to-face classes - Again, lots of questions without all the answers	

### **ACTION ITEMS:**

Question	Person(s) Responsible	Notes and Decision(s)	Next Steps
Approval of April 5, 2021 Notes	Melanie Dixon	5	Thmbs up
What are the key findings and primary recommendations of the LGBTQIA+ Project Team Report as contained in the			<ul> <li>Roger presented</li> <li>Roger and Emilie were co-leads for project team</li> <li>Commendations to both for their stewardship and work</li> <li>Members of LBGTQIA+ community are not always known</li> <li>ARC had one of the first PRIDE Centers not only in the state but in the country</li> <li>Only opportunity to self identify in institutional is CCCApply and only if 18 years of age; no way to update that information after the fact</li> <li>Mira Costa College now does an update to their system every semester including sexual orientation/gender identity</li> <li>Recommendations: improve data collection regarding LBGTQIA+ status; adopt a trickle up approach that expects those in positions of power to shift thinking around policy making; engage and/or assign a</li> </ul>

"Exploring Disproportionate Impact: LGBTQIA+." What do	Roger Davidson	0	culturally competent mental health professional and basic needs programming to assist LGBTQIA+ students; create an inclusive			
you see as the most significant implications associated with these recommendations? (First Reading) (3:00 pm)	Savidoti		classroom environment and curriculum LGBTQIA+ students; strengthen the organizational structure to better coordinate efforts (focus on intersectionality); increase counseling support through the Pride Center; increase accountability related to hate and bias incidents; commit to training specific to gender identify and intersectional identifies (i.e., perhaps a series of trainings or tie into district training); work intentionally to reduce process barriers that inhibit employee efforts; address restroom, locker room and showering facilities on our campus to ensure equitable access and safety for all our students  - Received complete and unanimous support at Academic Senate  - Will engage in offline discussion regarding Mira Costa system of routinely collecting/updating student data  - Commendations for the personal toll this type of work takes on the team leaders/members			
What are the key findings and primary recommendations of the Disproportionate Impact API Project Team Report as contained in the "Exploring Disproportionate Impact: LGBTQIA+." What do you see as the most significant implications associated with these recommendations? (First Reading) (3:30 pm)	Raquel Arata / Neue Leung	Raquel / Neue presented 1st / 2nd readings in Academic and Classified senates and SSC Kudos to Raquel and Neue for leadership and work accomplished	<ul> <li>Raquel &amp; Neue presented</li> <li>1st &amp; 2nd readings completed in Academic / Classified senates and SSC</li> <li>Kudos to Raquel and Neue for leadership and work</li> <li>Student Experience Survey indicated that API (non-DI) students had an overall satisfactory experience at ARC</li> <li>API-DI students had a less satisfactory experience</li> <li>Recommendations: further disaggregating data; build upon promising practices within PRISE; culturally relevant and responsive instructional strategies; outreach &amp; support strategies; more research on insights from API Student Experience Survey; increase API representation and role models; professional development for faculty, staff and student relative to anti-hate API sentiment</li> <li>Team noted a desire to continue the research and dialog</li> <li>Some difficulty and complexity in disaggregating data and appreciation to Neue and Raquel for working with research team</li> <li>Academic Senate unanimously supported the work of the project team</li> <li>Very powerful report with impactful work</li> <li>When discussing culturally relevant and responsive are you talking both curriculum piece and pedagogy; yes</li> </ul>			
Does ELT approve the proposed changes to the perspectives of the Student Success Council?	Sarah Lehmann		<ul> <li>Presented by Frank and Sarah</li> <li>Some perspectives were no longer relevant (i.e., Basic Skills, SSSP, etc.)</li> <li>Moved from 20 to 25 positions</li> <li>More classified professionals, two more faculty, and one individual from any job category</li> <li>Expanding focus on equity and expertise with DI students; still need to define "expertise"</li> <li>Is there a specific reason the VPI heads SSC and not the VPSS?</li> <li>Modeled after Achieving the Dream; VPI also oversaw the reporting of the reports on programs (i.e., basic needs, etc.); was also a balance of workload at the time the council was developed</li> <li>How does Academic and Classified senate define "expertise"; will need some collaboration between the two so not all appointments come from one area/division</li> <li>Academic Senate would likely look at coordinators assigned to PRISE program, PRIDE center, UMOJA, etc.</li> <li>Faculty might look at who on the council is representing me and how will I hear what is going on? Adds another layer of complexity</li> <li>Classified Senate might look to members of advisory groups</li> <li>Thought from SSC was asking individuals to write a note of interest</li> <li>Thumbs up</li> </ul>			
DISCUSSION ITEMS:	DISCUSSION ITEMS:					
Question	Person(s) Responsible	Notes and Next Steps				
ITEMS FOR FUTURE CONS	IDERATION					
	IDEKA HUN:	Contact Person				
Topic		Contact Pers	VII .			

2024 - American River College Shared Governance