Meeting Notes

NAME OF COUNCIL/TI	EAM: Disproportionate	Impact: LGBTQIA+	(2020-2021)

OBJECTIVE OF MEETING: Review first 3 draft sections of the report and begin writing questions for the student resource panel

DATE: 10/05/2020 TIME: 10:00am LOCATION/ROOM #: Zoom CALL-IN NUMBER:https://cccconfer.zoom.us/j/6440697328 CALL-IN CODE:

FACILITATOR(S): Emilie Mitchell, Roger Davidson

TIMEKEEPER:

ASSISTANT: Mary Goodall

MEMBERS PRESENT: Bee Curiel, Roger Davidson, Alejandra Garcia, Mary Goodall, Joshua Johnson, Jennifer Laflam, David McCusker, Emilie MITCHELL, Christopher Nguyen Pheneger, Mario Rodriguez, Sara Smith, Corey Winfield,

SUPPORTING RESOURCES (ITEMS READ IN PREPARATION FOR AND/OR BROUGHT TO MEETING):

UPDATES AND BRIEF REPORTS:

Торіс	Person(s) Responsible	Notes
Brief update on Student Resource Panel logistics	Roger	It was restated that we could do a student member OR a student panel, not both. Compensation for up to 18 panel members.
Brief intro to the ARC LGBTQ+ Research data	Emilie	Data from the Research departments Campus Climate survey was shared and explained to the team. This could help members in determining some of their work for the report.

ACTION ITEMS:

Question	Person(s) Responsible	Notes and Decision(s)	Next Steps
Determine method for finalizing student questions	Emilie & Roger	10	Members have until 10/9 to add notes to a questionairre in Google Docs that we can send to the students prior to panel meeting.

DISCUSSION ITEMS:

Question	Person(s) Responsible	Notes and Next Steps
Discuss draft of Definition and Terms section	Emilie, Corey and Bee	The team read and made final notes in Google Docs during the meeting.
Discuss History in the US section	Sara, Chris and Jenn	The team read and made final notes in Google Docs during the meeting.
Discussion Relevant Theory & Research section	Christopher, Emilie, et al.	The team read and made final notes in Google Docs during the meeting.

ITEMS FOR FUTURE CONSIDERATION:

Торіс	Contact Person
TBD	Roger Davidson or Emilie Mitchell

OTHER INFORMATION: There were questions and concerns about what might happen if a new college president or other governance entities could null and/or void the work that the teams do.