# COUNCIL/TEAM NAME

#### MEETING AGENDA

## Name of Council/Team: Institutional Effectiveness Council

Date: January 22, 2018 Location/Room #: Student Center Board Room

Time: 3:00-5:00p Call-In Number: Call-In Code:

Facilitator(s): Adam Karp and Bill Simpson

Timekeeper: Joelle Gibson-Wittrup

Note Taker: Joelle Gibson-Wittrup

**Attendees:** Adam Karp, Bill Simpson, Kuldeep Kaur, Frank Malaret, Rina Roy, Parrish Geary, Yuj Shimizu, Corinne Arrieta, Alisa Shubb, Ed Hashima, Kay Lo, Tyler Rollins, Jonathan Santos, Kevin Porter, Jonathan Newnam and Chris Olson (guests).

**Objective of meeting:** Inaugural meeting to welcome members, review Council charter, and orient members to the work of the Council

**Supporting Resources:** 

# REPORT ON ACTION ITEMS FROM PREVIOUS MEETING:

Topic/Question	Person Responsible	Status/Updates
Institutional Effectiveness Council Charter	Adam Karp, Bill Simpson	Review of the charter including deliverables and responsibilities. Everything is a work in progress, including the charter.
Governance Implementation Update	Adam Karp	This committee will be monitoring work of governance implementation. Governance Task Force (GTF) about 80-90% completed with their work. The governance document has been revised since it was approved and posted on ARC's website.  -There will be two trainings about the GTF in the next two months.  -Steering away from "pilot programs" looking at changes for all students.
Integrated Planning Improvement Team Update	Kuldeep Kaur, Alisa Shubb	-Consultant helping with this project.  - The group has defined terms regarding program review and annual unit planning.  -Conducted focus groups from different departments for feedback on current processes.  -Goal is to have new platform/technology (for staff) for spring 19, for next year's PR cohorts. A robust system that will update program review as well.  -EMP (Educational Master Plan) now called annual unit planning.

ID Undata	Vui Chimizu Tulon	Working on Institutional Cat Standards
IR Update	Yuj Shimizu, Tyler Rollins	-Working on Institutional Set Standards methodologyWorking with Start Right, IPaSS, and Clarifying Program Paths and the research questions these programs haveVarious department requests for dataIncreasing data accessibility for the campus through possible new software.
Accreditation Update	Adam Karp, Bill Simpson	<ul> <li>-Mid-term report is up next; ARC changed from a 6 year accreditation cycle to a 7 year cycle.</li> <li>-The governance document has a due date of March 2019.</li> </ul>
Professional Development (PD)	Adam Karp	-There is constituency (faculty, classified, and administration) based as well as institutional based PD.  - Looking ahead and at the SP (strategic plan) for moving forward in professional development.  - Communicating information throughout the campus and the onboarding process (ex: new faculty academy). Needs to be integrated.  -Training leaders for the councils and teams within the new governance redesign.  -Project management training for managers and making it applicable to higher education.
Additional Project Teams	Adam Karp	-When creating project groups keep in mind what is governance, and what is not governance? Does it need faculty, staff and management involvement? -Project teams need specific deliverables at the end of the work. Important to recognize how many project teams one council can supportDistrict wide effort to adopt a system for class/meeting scheduling; relates to students and faculty load/hiring as wellMay be an online education project team, as well as an equity team.
Wrap up and Adjourn	Adam Karp, Bill Simpson	-Goal of this meeting was to orient all members to the responsibilities of this committeeWill be reviewing the governance process at the end of the semester.

Next Meeting: February 26<sup>th</sup>, 2018 3pm-5pm Student Center Board Room

WEETING AGENDA		
Name of Council/Team: Institutional Effectiveness Council		
Date: February 26, 2018 Time: 3:00-5:00pm		
Facilitator(s): Adam Karp and Bill Simp	oson	
Timekeeper: Joelle Gibson-Wittrup		
Note Taker: Joelle Gibson-Wittrup		
Attendees: Adam Karp, Bill Simpson, Lisa Lawrenso Kevin Porter, Alexandrina Chavez. Gues	ts: Chris Olson and Earl Crouchle	y
<b>Objective of meeting:</b> Discuss governal accreditation reports, strategic plan met		itegrated planning updates,
DISCUSSION ITEMS:		
Topic/Question		Responsible Party and Time Allotted
Welcome, Introductions, and Check-i	n	Adam Karp (10 minutes)
Achieving the Dream DREAM 2018 Co Several of the IEC members attended las • ARC is now in the 2 <sup>nd</sup> year of A' particular the dis impact and a "critical friends" encouraged us implementation of changes. • Will be sharing more with this resources from conference.	st week's conference. TD; student outcomes in focus on equity. ATD coaches to move from discussions to	Adam Karp (10 minutes)
Governance  Communication Platform B  End-of-Year Process	asecamp	Adam Karp (20 minutes)
Inviting all members to explore Basecan to share documents, chat room, calenda PES- reminders can be auto-generated a has been working on an interface to hou apps into basecamp (in progress).  • EOY- For existing project teams look ahead to fall and other promeeting	r etc. Currently using it with and consistent. Jonathan Santos ase agendas, integrate all ARCs in April, work will conclude-	
Integrated Planning Improvement Te Chris Olson and Adam Karp were at the consultant has built a model for ARC to new integrated platform for Program Re standards.	last team meeting; the hired view, and work is ongoing for a	Kuldeep Kaur, Alisa Shubb (10 minutes)
EMP (Annual Unit Planning) Process		Adam Karp (5 minutes)

EMP is a misnomer, AUP is more accurate. System is working currently; new integrated planning system within the next year. Migrating info from old system depends on how similar the final queries are to the previous questions. Attentive to the needs of all department/divisions. Integrated system where each process supplies data to the others within the 7 year accreditation cycle. EMP trainings: 3 completed, 2 coming up. Changes in the process: new goals for Strategic Plan are now in the system, Data on Demand & Data Visualizations -- Higher Ed Profiles Tyler Rollins (30 minutes) Demo of Gavilan College's use of this tool, sectioned into: fact book, scheduling tool and PR/Equity. Fully customizable reports; ARC can also decide on level of access for users: global, by department etc. Ability to display course data down to the section level. Data turnaround is customizable (once a semester, etc). Automated reports can be sent to email. Data academy for training employees to use data; learn/ use tool together. Shift research office from number crunching to data coaching, saving employee time as well. Integrated state oriented reporting requirements into the program. Can create a cohort of new students and follow their progress. Consensus for supporting this scheduling software. Accreditation **Stretch Goals/Annual Report** Yuj Shimizu, Tyler Rollins (10 **Midterm Report Timeline and Process** minutes) Background: during accreditation F15, Institutional Set Standards (ISS) Adam Karp (5 minutes) are the baseline level then the college would be called upon for improvement (stretch goals). Take previous 3 year average. For course success rates, summer terms excluded, as well as apprenticeship and public safety courses. No penalty from accreditation if stretch goals are not met. For 2016/2017: 94% of departments met or exceeded the ISS. Annual report will be submitted in March. Midterm report time line. Wrote a follow up report based on that work. This is midway through the 7 yrs. Includes the college addressing the self-evaluation report and what accreditation team noted. This is due a year from now to the board of trustees. Reviewed the specific recommendations of the selfevaluation and the ACCIC that needs to be included in the MTR. Went over timeline for the midterm report. **Strategic Plan Metrics** Adam Karp (5 minutes) Working with Scott Crow/communication department to share Start Right and IPASS work as well as Clarify Program Paths and milestone achievement. Need to build more from goals 3 and 4 in the strategic plan. Wrap-up and Adjourn Adam Karp, Bill Simpson (5 minutes) Next Meeting 3/19

## Name of Council/Team: Institutional Effectiveness Council

**Date: March 19, 2018** Location/Room #: Student Center Board Room

Time: 3:00-5:00pm Call-In Number: N/A Call-In Code: N/A

Facilitator(s): Adam Karp and Bill Simpson

Timekeeper: Joelle Gibson-Wittrup

Note Taker: Joelle Gibson-Wittrup

#### **Members:**

Adam Karp, Bill Simpson, Kuldeep Kaur, Frank Malaret, Rina Roy, Yuj Shimizu, Corinne Arrieta, Alisa Shubb, Ed Hashima, Kay Lo, Tyler Rollins, Jonathan Santos, Kevin Porter, Alexandrina Chavez Guests: Chris Olson, Cheri Jones

#### **Objectives:**

- Provide training for Basecamp integration
- Provide feedback on Integrated Planning Improvement Team annual unit planning and program review documents
- Discuss democratization of data for decision making
- Review accreditation reports

## Reference Materials (shared meeting folder for 3-19-18):

- Annual Unit Planning and Program Review documents
- A New Vision for Institutional Research (article)
- ACCIC Annual Report and ACCIC Fiscal Report

## **DISCUSSION ITEMS:**

Topic/Question	Responsible Party and Time Allotted
Welcome and Check-in	All (15 minutes)
Basecamp Training and Integration Trial use of Basecamp accessible to IEC members. IEC is using as a prototype prior to roll out to other councils. Sends notifications when documents are shared, integrates tools for note takers, records all activity, and will use single login ID (employee ID). Still discussing where council documents will be housed.	Adam Karp, Jonathan Santos (15 minutes)
Integrated Planning Improvement Team Update Working with consultant, creating/discussing documentsGuiding principles doc- speaks to charter, align all levels of planning to ensure effectiveness and efficiency. Most guiding principles came from Program Review Committee (PRC).	Kuldeep Kaur, Alisa Shubb (30 minutes)

-Annual Unit Planning (AUP): define relevant terms, who participates, roles/responsibilities for units going through planning. Consultant created program review model to define what is and isn't a program. (Ex: College Foundation considered a "unit", but not a "program".) New technology for use Spring 2019 for both AUP and PR; will create a living and more frequently used document. As system evolves, will recognize each department representative and what report is due. -PRC: expanding membership; structuring for adequate representation from all areas. Discussion on grouping programs (cohorts), approving requested postponements. Reports to the Academic Senate (AS) and IEC. Committees of the senate (curriculum, PRC, SLO) are continuing from the previous governance due to ongoing work. Neither PR nor SLO committee is under the IEC, however the council includes their representatives. - Integrated planning guide (accreditation, review of mission and vision etc.) with an institutional equity plan and educational master plan that would guide everything (14 years-includes a calendar). Draft document, first full cycle year 2022-2023. Institutional equity plan lens used to view everything. -Quality Enhancement Support Team (QUEST): formal way to establish support for those going through PR. Will be focused discussion; also looking at specifics of PR presentations. **Democratization of Data for Decision Making** Adam Karp, Tyler Rollins, Yuj Shimizu The college has diverse data needs. To make best use of research resources, (30 minutes) "self-serve" data is important. Pursue data informed discussion making, climate of inquiry. To that end, in the new redesign, research staff are now on councils. -Higher education profile presentation last meeting; research team now working with district to acquire higher Ed profile. -Discussed designing a data experience for PRC. Raising awareness to encourage more informed research questions. Data not as a weapon, but support improving outcomes for all students. Prioritize requests that are able to show gaps and relate that with resource allocations (as an incentive). Need to look at levels of detail below division level to find gaps. **ACCJC Annual Report and ACCJC Fiscal Report** Yuj Shimizu, Adam Karp, Kuldeep Kaur **Fiscal Report:** required yearly, due 4/2. (15 minutes) Annual Report: first section- program numbers, then achievement data (ISSinstitutional set standards). Also figures regarding job placement, some data from Perkins website. Discussed Department Set Standards- calculated from a 3 year average. There is now a stretch goal at the department level. Almost 20% of departments are meeting or exceeding their stretch goals. Includes a section on purpose of the data compilation, a comment section, as well as an SLO section. Yuj will present report to the senate and receive feedback, then departments will be notified. -Discussion of saving/viewing previous years' reports. Adam Karp, Bill Wrap-up and Adjourn Simpson (5 minutes)

## Name of Council/Team: Institutional Effectiveness Council

Date: April 16, 2018 Location/Room #: Student Center Board Room

Time: 3:00-5:00pm Call-In Number: N/A Call-In Code: N/A

Facilitator(s): Adam Karp and Bill Simpson

Timekeeper: Joelle Gibson-Wittrup

Note Taker: Joelle Gibson-Wittrup

#### **Members:**

Adam Karp, Bill Simpson, Lisa Lawrenson, Kuldeep Kaur, Rina Roy, Yuj Shimizu, Corinne Arrieta, Alisa Shubb, Ed Hashima, Kay Lo, Tyler Rollins, Jonathan Santos, Kevin Porter, Alexandrina Chavez

## **Objectives:**

Discuss and provide feedback on Integrated Planning Improvement Team annual unit planning and program review documents

#### **Reference Materials:**

- Annual Unit Planning and Program Review documents (4-9-18)
- Executive Leadership Team meeting documents (4-2-18)

## **DISCUSSION ITEMS:**

Topic/Question	Responsible
	Party and Time
	Allotted
Welcome and Check-in	All (10 minutes)
Integrated Planning Improvement Team Update	Kuldeep Kaur,
Revamping Program Review (PR)	Alisa Shubb (80
The IP team has been working with the Program Review committee, which is an	minutes)
Academic Senate subcommittee. Proposed model: provide support for all current year PR	,
cohorts through Quest (guidance, insight). Focusing on the role of the current committee,	
finessing functions and responsibilities. Previously, little to no support was provided for	
non-instructional programs. Potential solution: tri chair model (new for ARC):	
administrative, classified, and faculty chair; will work together for communication etc.	
Each chair would be a liaison to their respective constituents (ex: Academic/Classified	
senate) and to their constituents who are going through the current year's program	
review. In current governance redesign, no mechanism for ongoing committees (project	
teams that last 1 year typically). However, PR and Annual Unit Planning (AUP) is ongoing	
work.	
-Use Equity Action Institute graduates to ensure right questions are being asked and the	
right data is being reviewed.	
-Set up flags or markers through the PR system so data is easier to understand and apply.	
Could facilitate understanding as well as reduce work burden of the research office. (Ex:	
here is a dip in success from last year, etc.) Focus on the planning part: how to address	
the data?	

-Add responsibilities of PR members to the document? Members of the PR committee	
will be on a Quest team.	
-What constitutes a program? Three different groupings: instructional, student services,	
president's administrative services. Are these three related enough to group together as	
this? Difference between program and unit, and not all units are programs. Healthy for	
programs to make goals and improve efficiency.	
-This document needs to be vetted through other groups besides Academic Senate.	
Kuldeep will share this work at PES Wednesday. The basic definition of a program is	
foundational to the work and should be agreed upon college wide. This work has been	
needed for a long time and thank you to those who completed the work.	
Integrated Planning Guide	
-Draft document; more discussion needed to decide language. The charter was accepted	
with the idea that this work would take more than a year. Each group's work needs to be	
stated, as there is ambiguity. Charters are for the teams that would be doing the work.	
Creating these teams and the work that they are doing, central to strategic plan. Frame	
all work through equity lens, meeting with consultant regarding equity work. Members	
from this group are also in ELT (who charters the groups). Who presents to the senate?	
LRCFT and LRCEA collective bargains need to be honored regarding committee work.	
-Timelines: when to complete AUP and program review? Both should be the same time of	
year. For 6 years, the AUP is completed and then PR. Some colleges provide PR/AUP	
training in August which are then due in October.	
-Look at what can be automated such as part of hiring requests, compilation of	
committees. New faculty hiring is completely separate from PR, but data informed hiring	

Executive Leadership Team Update	Adam Karp (20
	minutes)
Next Meeting: 5-14-18	Adam Karp, Bill
	Simpson (10
	minutes)

is important. Valuable time and resources are lost due to preventable lag time.

conversations are extremely valuable and necessary.

-Recommendation: continue this work in the fall and ensure it is properly vetted. These

## Name of Council/Team: Institutional Effectiveness Council

Date: May 14, 2018 Location/Room #: Aquarium Conference Room

Time: 9:00-11:00 am Call-In Number: N/A Call-In Code: N/A

Facilitator(s): Adam Karp and Bill Simpson

Timekeeper: Joelle Gibson-Wittrup

Note Taker: Joelle Gibson-Wittrup

#### **Members Present:**

Adam Karp, Bill Simpson, Rina Roy, Yuj Shimizu, Corinne Arrieta, Alisa Shubb, Kevin Porter,

#### **Objectives:**

- Review Integrated Planning Improvement Team annual unit planning and program review documents
- Make recommendation to Executive Leadership Team for action on Integrated Planning Improvement Team deliverables
- Provide feedback on Integrated Planning System Implementation Mock-up
- Discuss Governance preparation for the fall

#### **Reference Materials:**

- 4-09-18 Integrated Planning Team Agenda and Materials
- Integrated Planning Team Report 5-11-18
- Intro Integrated Planning Implementation Mock-up
- ARC Integrated Planning System Implementation Mock-up

## **DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS:**

Topic/Question	Responsible Party and Time Allotted
Welcome and Check-in	All (5 minutes)
Integrated Planning Improvement (IPI) Team Report -ELT (Executive Leadership Team) will act on what is recommended from IEC today. Consensus is to move forward, extension for the charter. Work on integrated planning framework in the fall as well as institutional equity plan.  -This report captures what work has been done. Academic Senate has evaluated program review committee, which intersects with this work. Use of data by way of inquiry guides, also a technology interfaceResearch and IT got together to discuss what needs to happen in the summer regarding IPI's work. How to roll this out institutionally? Improve prioritizing and online navigation. Robust college wide communication needed during fall convocation, Beta testing in September. In October, goes live for college use. Summarized changes for PR, Quest team model and AUP are available in the report.	Adam Karp (20 minutes)
Integrated Planning System Implementation Mock-up	Adam Karp (60
Still a draft; strategic plan has four huge goals that need to be parsed outProvide relevant data within the document to the user(s). Ex: Data shows a decline of What does the data mean to your department? Highlight a small amount of	minutes)

important data; conversation starter within and between departments. Relation to unit's effectiveness? Disproportionate impact?	
-Should provide sample answers; give a starting base. Clear up the language; action step should be required, or it is not a plan. Keep in mind culturally relevant curriculum. Relation to department set standards, SLO assessmentRank/edit priorities: Ranking was a resource tool, still a need for it? Need to include ability to document there was department discussion/decision. All faculty (including adjunct) that participated?	
-Questions for ELT. How many goals; goals and objectives? Other, add your own? Anticipate future educational legislation? What is driving the planning? Go from strategic plan, to address goal or objective? In principle sounds great, but in practice? Everything can fit, but some requirements may not relate (ex: update curriculum)? As a department decide which goal to tackle? Difficult to reach consensusProgram review should be deeper, AUP is more strategic. AUP is for all units, not just instructional. Inquiry guides from the system. Draw from questions for the inquiry guides from the SS, etc. The focus of unit planning should be planning, not making purchases.  Big culture shift from EMP now. Communicate the big shift: integration!	
Governance Preparation for Fall  Tressa Tabares, Adam Karp, and consultant Cherie Jones will work over the summer:  1st Goal: Document repository for agendas, notes etc. Academic Senate and Classified Senate President manage of their constituent group membership? Rosters to be managed for all governance groups (including committees)? Teams should regularly send their meeting minutes to the sponsoring council. Share (on the website monthly) a high level summary of what is going on with project teams.  2nd goal: facilitate leadership; what does it mean to be a member of a council? Requirements and expectations. Ex: how does being a representative for classified work? How to lead discussions, decide between lead and colead, etc.	Adam Karp (15 minutes)
Wrap-up and Adjourn	Adam Karp, Bill Simpson (5
	minutes)